

申龍秀 教授指導
碩士學位 請求論文

THE BEHAVIOR OF LOCAL MINIMA OF
A LEVEL 0-SEQUENCE OF
CODIMENSION 3 HAVING THE SAME
LOCAL MAXIMA

2006

誠信女子大學校 教育大學院
教育學科 數學教育專攻
柳奈影

THE BEHAVIOR OF LOCAL MINIMA OF
A LEVEL O-SEQUENCE OF
CODIMENSION 3 HAVING THE SAME
LOCAL MAXIMA

申龍秀 教授指導

이 論文을 碩士學位 論文으로 提出함

2006 年 6 月

誠信女子大學校 教育大學院

教育學科 數學教育專攻

柳 奈 影

認 准 書

柳 奈 影의 碩士學位 論文을 認准함

審査委員 _____ 印

審査委員 _____ 印

審査委員 _____ 印

誠信女子大學校 教育大學院

목 차

논문개요

I . Introduction1
II . Some Algorithms for Obtaining Level O-sequence4
III . The Behavior of Local Minima of a Non-Unimodal Level Artinian O-sequence of8
References19
Abstract21

논문개요

[12]에서 F.Zanillo는 여차원이 3인 단일 양상이 아닌 Level O-수열(Non-Unimodal Level O-sequence)에 관하여 다음과 같은 Zanillo의 구조를 발견하였는데, $(1, 3, \dots, t, t, t+1, t, t, t+1, \dots, t, t, t+1)$ 이 구조는 원하는 만큼의 극대값(Maxima)을 가진다.

[9]에서 Zanillo의 구조를 다양하게 사용하여, 새로운 여차원이 3인 단일 양상이 아닌 Level O-수열을 발견하였으며, 이 Level O-수열이 동일한 극대값을 가지며, 또한 이 Level O-수열의 어떠한 두 개의 극대값 사이가 항상 대칭적(Symmetric)임을 증명하였다.

우리는 이 논문에서 Kim이 발견한 새로운 Level O-수열을 사용하여, 이 Level O-수열의 극소값은 두 개의 연속한 차수에서 가지는 것을 증명하였다. 또한 이 Level O-수열의 극소값은 증가(increasing)함을 증명하였다.

이 논문에서 모든 예제는 컴퓨터 프로그램 CoCoA의 알고리즘이 사용되었다.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a n -variable polynomial ring over an algebraically closed field $k = \bar{k}$ and I be a homogeneous ideal of R . Since R_i is the vector space of dimension $\binom{i+n-1}{n-1}$ generated by all the monomials in R having degree i and $I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} I_i$, we get that

$$A = R/I = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} (R_i/I_i) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$$

is a graded ring. The numerical function

$$\mathbf{H}_A(t) := \dim_k A_t = \dim_k R_t - \dim_k I_t$$

is called the **Hilbert function** of the ring A (or of the ideal I). Let h and i be positive integers. Then h can be written uniquely in the form

$$h = \binom{m_i}{i} + \binom{m_{i-1}}{i-1} + \dots + \binom{m_j}{j}$$

where $m_i > m_{i-1} > \dots > m_j \geq j \geq 1$. This expansion for h is called the ***i*-binomial expansion** of h . Also, define

$$h^{\langle i \rangle} = \binom{m_i + 1}{i + 1} + \binom{m_{i-1} + 1}{(i - 1) + 1} + \dots + \binom{m_j + 1}{j + 1},$$

and $0^{\langle i \rangle} = 0$. Let $\mathbf{T} = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i, \dots)$ be a sequence of non-negative integers. We say that \mathbf{T} is an **O-sequence** if $h_0 = 1$ and $h_{i+1} \leq h_i^{\langle i \rangle}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Given an O-sequence $\mathbf{T} = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_i, \dots)$, we can differentiate it to get a new sequence

$$\Delta \mathbf{T} = (h_0, h_1 - h_0, h_2 - h_1, h_3 - h_2, \dots)$$

and we call $\Delta \mathbf{T}$ the **first difference** of \mathbf{T} . If \mathbf{T} is an O-sequence again, \mathbf{T} is called a **differentiable O-sequence**.

We consider standard Artinian algebras $A = R/I$, where I is a homogeneous ideal of R . The ***h-vector*** of A is $h(A) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_\ell)$ where $h_i = \dim_k A_i = \dim_k R_i - \dim_k I_i$ and ℓ is the last index such that $\dim_k A_\ell \neq 0$. We call ℓ the ***socle degree*** of A . Moreover, we shall assume that I does not contain any non-zero forms of degree 1 and n is defined as the ***codimension*** of A .

The socle of A is the annihilator of the maximal homogeneous ideal $\bar{m} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$, that is,

$$\text{soc}(A) = \{a \in A \mid a \cdot \bar{m} = 0\}.$$

Let $A = R/I$ be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension d . Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n-(d-1)} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

be a minimal free resolution of R/I . Then A is a ***level algebra of type m*** if $\mathcal{F}_{n-(d-1)} = R^m(-s)$, for some $s > 0$. In particular, if $m = 1$, then we say that A is a ***Gorenstein algebra*** and the h -vector of A is a ***Gorenstein sequence***. It is well-known that an Artinian graded algebra $A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_\ell$ is ***level*** if $\text{soc}(A) = A_\ell$.

For graded Artinian level algebras, it has been recently studied (see [1] - [5], [7] - [11], [13], [14]).

In [13], F. Zanella found how to produce a non-unimodal level O-sequence of codimension 3

$$1 \quad 3 \quad \cdots \quad t \quad t \quad t+1 \quad t \quad t \quad t+1 \quad \cdots \quad t \quad t \quad t+1$$

having local maxima as many times as we want.

In [9], using a different idea from Zanello's construction, she found how to produce a level O-sequence of codimension 3 having local maxima as many times as we desire . She also proved that the O-sequence we constructed is symmetric between any two local maxima.

Let \mathbf{H} be a non-unimodal level O-sequence which Kim produced in [9]. In this thesis, we show that any local minima of \mathbf{H} exist in two consecutive degrees (see Theorem 3.4). Moreover, we prove that those local minima are increasing (see Theorem 3.5).

A computer program CoCoA [12] was used for all examples in this thesis with algorithms which were provided by Professor Shin (see Algorithms 2.1, 2.3, and 2.6).

2. SOME ALGORITHMS FOR OBTAINING LEVEL O-SEQUENCES

In this section, we shall introduce some algorithms to obtain level O-sequence easily using Theorem 2.5 from CoCoA [12]. Moreover, we shall discuss the properties of non-unimodal O-sequences.

First of all, the following algorithm is to check if the given sequence is an O-sequence.

Algorithm 2.1 (CoCoA, Checking O-sequences).

```
Define CHECKOSequence(T)
  A1:=="==> Yes, this is an O-Sequence.";
  A2:=="==> No, this is NOT an O-Sequence." ;
  A:=A1;
  For I:= 2 To Len(T)-1 Do
    J:=I+1;
    S1:=Comp(T,I);
    S2:=Comp(T,J);
    BinValue:=BinExp(S1,I-1,1,1);
    --Print I, J, S2, BinValue, NewLine;
    If BinValue < S2 Then A:= A2
  EndIf;
EndFor;
S:=Comp(T,1);
If S > 1 Then Print "The 1st component should be 1,
                    so this is NOT an O-Sequence.", NewLine
EndIf;
If S < 1 Then Print "The 1st component should be 1,
                    so this is NOT an O-Sequence.", NewLine
EndIf;
If S=1 Then Print T, A
EndIf;
EndDefine;
```

Example 2.2 (CoCoA). If we run `CHECKOSequence(T)` from CoCoA, then we can check if a given sequence is an O-sequence as follows:

```
CHECKOSequence([1,3,5,7,9,11,4,2]);
[1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 4, 2]==> Yes, this is an O-Sequence.
```

```
CHECKOSequence([1,3,5,7,9,11,14]);
[1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14]==> No, this is NOT an O-Sequence.
```

Hence, the first sequence (1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 6, 3) is an O-sequence, but the second sequence (1, 4, 7, 10, 13, 17) is not an O-sequence.

Here we need the following algorithm, which we can obtain the differentiable O-sequence from an O-sequence made by Algorithm 2.1.

Algorithm 2.3 (CoCoA: Adding Up O-sequences).

```
Define ADDUPHilbert(L)
  S:=[];
  For I := 2 To Len(L)
    Do S1:=Sum(First(L,I));
    Append(S,S1);
  EndFor;
  S2:=Comp(S,Len(S));
  S:=[S];
  Append(S,S2);
  S;
EndDefine;
```

Example 2.4 (CoCoA). If we also run `ADDUPHilbert(L)` from CoCoA, then we can add up if a given O-sequence as follows:

```
ADDUPHilbert([1,3,5,7,9,11,4,2]);
[[4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 40, 42], 42]
```

So, we can obtain the differentiable O-sequence (1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 40, 42).

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 4.8A, [10]). *Let $h = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_e)$ be the h -vector of a level algebra $A = R/\text{Ann}(M)$ where $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Then, if F is a generic form of degree e , the level algebra $A = R/\text{Ann}(\langle M, F \rangle)$ has h -vector $\mathbf{H} = (H_0, H_1, \dots, H_e)$ where, for $i = 1, \dots, e$,*

$$H_i = \min \left\{ h_i + \binom{r-1+e-i}{e-i}, \binom{r-1+i}{i} \right\}.$$

Before we make an example, we introduce the following algorithm which we can obtain a level O-sequence using CoCoA based on Theorem 2.5.

Algorithm 2.6 (CoCoA: Obtaining Level h -vector).

```
Define LEVELHVECTOR(T)
  NewT:= [1];
  R:=Comp(T,2);
  E:=Len(T)-1;
  For J := 2 To Len(T) Do
    I:=J-1;
    Ti:=Comp(T,J);
    T1:=Bin(R-1+E-I,E-I);
    T2:=Bin(R-1+I,I);
    NewTi:=Min(Ti+T1,T2);
    Append(NewT,NewTi);
  EndFor;
  Print "From h=", T, " and r=", R, NewLine;
```

```
Print "We have T=", NewT
EndDefine;
```

Example 2.7 (CoCoA). Consider a level h -vector $(1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 40, 42)$ in Example 2.4. Applying Algorithm 2.6, we have another level O-sequence as follows:

```
LEVELHVECTOR([1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 40, 42]);
From h=[1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, 40, 42] and r=4
We have T=[1, 4, 10, 20, 35, 46, 44, 43]
```

So, we can obtain another level O-sequence $(1, 4, 10, 20, 35, 46, 44, 43)$.

3. THE BEHAVIOR OF LOCAL MINIMA OF A NON-UNIMODAL
LEVEL ARTINIAN O-SEQUENCE OF CODIMENSION 3

Using the above Theorem 2.5, Kim showed how to produce a non-unimodal level O-sequence having the same local maxima as many as we want (see [9]).

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 3.2, [9]). *Let \mathbf{H}' be a Hilbert function such that*

$$\Delta\mathbf{H}' = (1, 2, 3, \dots, s + 1, \\ \underbrace{3^{N+1}, \dots, 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}}, \underbrace{3^N, \dots, 3^N}_{3^N\text{-times}}, \\ \vdots \\ \underbrace{3^3, \dots, 3^3}_{3^3\text{-times}}, \underbrace{3^2, \dots, 3^2}_{3^2\text{-times}}, \underbrace{3, 3, 3}_{3\text{-times}})$$

where $s \gg 0$ and $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, and let \mathbf{H} be in Theorem 2.5. Then \mathbf{H} is a level O-sequence of codimension 3 having the same N -local maxima.

Moreover, she proved that the Hilbert function in Theorem 3.1 is symmetric between any two local maxima.

Theorem 3.2 (Theorem 3.5, [9]). *Let \mathbf{H} be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the Hilbert function \mathbf{H} is symmetric between any two local maxima.*

From the previous theorem, we have a natural question here.

Question 3.3. Do any local minima of the Hilbert function \mathbf{H} exist in two consecutive degrees?

We have a positive answer to Question 3.3 in Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathbf{H} be as in Question 3.3. Then the Hilbert function \mathbf{H} has local minima in two consecutive degrees.*

Proof. Let $\beta_\ell = s + 3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}$, $\beta_{\ell+1} = s + 3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}$.

Note that, by Theorem 3.1, $H_{\beta_\ell}, H_{\beta_{\ell+1}}$ are local maxima of the Hilbert function \mathbf{H} .

$$\text{Let } \alpha_\ell = \beta_\ell + \frac{3^{N-\ell}-1}{2} .$$

First, we shall show that

$$H_{\alpha_\ell} = H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}}.$$

Let $k = \frac{3^{N-\ell}-1}{2}$. Then, by Theorem 2.5

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha_\ell} &= \binom{s+2}{2} + \underbrace{3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}} + \dots \\ &+ \underbrace{3^{N-\ell+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}}_{3^{N-\ell+1}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{k\text{-times}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k \right), \text{ and} \\ &\left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - k \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} &= \binom{s+2}{2} + \underbrace{3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}} + \dots \\ &+ \underbrace{3^{N-\ell+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}}_{3^{N-\ell+1}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{k+1\text{-times}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - (k+1) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - (k+1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} - H_{\alpha_\ell} &= \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{k+1\text{-times}} + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - (k+1) \right) \\ &- \underbrace{(3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell})}_{k\text{-times}} - \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k \right) \\ &- \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - k \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 3^{N-\ell} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - (k+1) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - (k+1) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k \right) \\
&= 3^{N-\ell} + \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell+2}}{8} - \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2}(k+1) + \frac{(k+1)^2}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell+2}}{8} + \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2}k + \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \\
&= 3^{N-\ell} - \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + k + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= 3^{N-\ell} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \right) + \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$H_{\alpha_\ell} = H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}},$$

as we wanted.

Now we shall show that H_{α_ℓ} and $H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}}$ are local minima.

In other words, it suffice to show

$$H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} > H_{\alpha_\ell} = H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} < H_{\alpha_{\ell+2}}.$$

Since $H_{\alpha_{\ell+2}} = H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}}$ by Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that

$$H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} > H_{\alpha_\ell}.$$

In fact, by Theorem 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} &= \binom{s+2}{2} + \underbrace{3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}} + \dots \\ &\quad + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}}_{3^{N-\ell+1}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{(k-1)\text{-times}} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - (k-1) \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - (k-1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} - H_{\alpha_{\ell}} &= \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{(k-1)\text{-times}} + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - (k-1) \right) \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\left(3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell} \right)}_{k\text{-times}} - \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k \right) \\
&= -3^{N-\ell} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - (k-1) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - (k-1) \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k \right) \\
&= -3^{N-\ell} + \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell+2}}{8} - \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2}(k-1) + \frac{(k-1)^2}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell+2}}{8} - \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2}k + \frac{k^2}{2} \right) \\
&= -3^{N-\ell} + \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - k + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= 3^{N-\ell} \left(-1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} - \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} - \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= 1 \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows that $H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} > H_{\alpha_{\ell}}$.

Therefore, we have that

$$H_{\alpha_{\ell-1}} > H_{\alpha_{\ell}} = H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} < H_{\alpha_{\ell+2}},$$

as we wished. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem here.

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathbf{H} and $H_{\alpha_{\ell}}$ be as in Theorem 3.4. Then all N -local minima are increasing.*

In other words,

$$H_{\alpha_{\ell}} < H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}}.$$

Proof. Let β_{ℓ} and α_{ℓ} be as above, and let $k_1 = \frac{3^{N-\ell}-1}{2}$, $k_2 = \frac{3^{N-\ell-1}-1}{2}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha_\ell} &= \binom{s+2}{2} + \underbrace{3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}} + \dots \\
&\quad + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}}_{3^{N-\ell+1}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{k_1\text{-times}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k_1 \right), \text{ and} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - k_1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} &= \binom{s+2}{2} + \underbrace{3^{N+1} + \dots + 3^{N+1}}_{3^{N+1}\text{-times}} + \dots \\
&\quad + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell+1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell+1}}_{3^{N-\ell+1}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{3^{N-\ell}\text{-times}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell-1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell-1}}_{k_2\text{-times}} + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k_2 \right), \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - k_2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}} - H_{\alpha_\ell} &= \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{3^{N-\ell}\text{-times}} + \underbrace{3^{N-\ell-1} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell-1}}_{k_2\text{-times}} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k_2 \right) - \underbrace{3^{N-\ell} + \dots + 3^{N-\ell}}_{k_1\text{-times}} \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - k_1 \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - k_1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (3^{N-\ell} - k_1) 3^{N-\ell} + 3^{N-\ell-1} \cdot k_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell} - k_2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell} - k_2 \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k_1 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^{N-\ell+1} - k_1 \right) \\
&= (3^{N-\ell} - k_1) 3^{N-\ell} + 3^{N-\ell-1} \cdot k_2 \\
&\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell}}{8} - \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} k_2 + \frac{k_2^2}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \left(-\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell+2}}{8} - \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} k_1 + \frac{k_1^2}{2} \right) \\
&= 3^{2N-2\ell} - 3^{N-\ell} k_1 + 3^{N-\ell-1} k_2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3^{2N-2\ell}}{8} (1 - 3^2) - \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} k_2 + \frac{3^{N-\ell+1}}{2} k_1 + \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 \\
&= 3^{N-\ell} \left(\frac{3}{2} k_1 - k_1 \right) + 3^{N-\ell-1} \left(k_2 - \frac{3}{2} k_2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 \\
&= \frac{3^{N-\ell}}{2} k_1 - \frac{3^{N-\ell-1}}{2} k_2 + \frac{1}{2} k_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} k_1^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} k_1 (3^{N-\ell} - k_1) + \frac{1}{2} k_2 (k_2 - 3^{N-\ell-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2} \left(3^{N-\ell} - \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3^{N-\ell-1} - 1}{2} \left(\frac{3^{N-\ell-1} - 1}{2} - 3^{N-\ell-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{3^{N-\ell} - 1}{4} \cdot \frac{3^{N-\ell} + 1}{2} + \frac{3^{N-\ell-1} - 1}{4} \cdot \frac{-3^{N-\ell} - 1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{8} \cdot (3^{N-\ell} - 1)(3^{N-\ell} + 1) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \cdot (3^{N-\ell-1} - 1)(-3^{N-\ell-1} + 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \cdot (3^{2N-2\ell} - 1) + \frac{1}{8} \cdot (1 - 3^{2N-2\ell-2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3^{2N-2\ell} - \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3^{2N-2\ell-2} \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \cdot 3^{2N-2\ell}(1 - 3^{-2}) \\
&= 3^{2(N-\ell-1)} \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$H_{\alpha_\ell} < H_{\alpha_{\ell+1}}$$

as we desired. \square

Example 3.6 (CoCoA). Let \mathbf{H}' be an O-sequence of codimension 3 such that

$$\Delta \mathbf{H}' = (1, 2, 3, \dots, 200, \underbrace{81, \dots, 81}_{81\text{-times}}, \underbrace{27, \dots, 27}_{27\text{-times}}, \underbrace{9, \dots, 9}_{9\text{-times}}, \underbrace{3, 3, 3}_{3\text{-times}}).$$

Then using Theorem 2.5 and Algorithm 2.6, we obtain another level O-sequence as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H} \\
= & (1, 3, 6, \dots, 27402, 27441, \\
& \mathbf{27481}, 27468, 27456, 27445, 27435, 27426, 27418, 27411, 27405, \\
& 27400, 27396, 27393, 27391, \mathbf{27390}, \mathbf{27390}, 27391, 27393, 27396, \\
& 27400, 27405, 27411, 27418, 27426, 27435, 27445, 27456, 27468, \\
& \mathbf{27481}, 27477, 27474, 27472, \mathbf{27471}, \mathbf{27471}, 27472, 27474, 27477, \\
& \mathbf{27481}, \mathbf{27480}, \mathbf{27480}, \mathbf{27481}),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that the local minima 27390, 27471 and 27480 are increasing as we proved in Theorem 3.5. Moreover, the difference between any two local minima are

$$\begin{aligned}
27471 - 27390 &= 3^4, \quad \text{and} \\
27480 - 27471 &= 3^2,
\end{aligned}$$

as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. Ahn and Y.S. Shin Generic Initial Ideals and Garded Artinian Level Algebras Not Having the Weak-Lefschetz Property. *In preparation*.
- [2] D. Bernstein and A. Iarrobino, A Nonunimodal Graded Gorenstein Artin Algebra in Codimension Five. *Comm. in Alg*, **20**(8):2323–2336, 1992.
- [3] A.M. Bigati and A.V. Geramita, *Level Algebras, Lex Segments and Minimal Hilbert Functions*, *Comm. in Alg.* **31** (2003), 1427–1451.
- [4] M. Boij and D. Laksov, Nonunimodality of Graded Gorenstein Artin Algebras. *Proc. Amer.Math. Soc.*, **120**:1083–1092, 1994.
- [5] Y. Cho and A. Iarrobino. Hilbert Functions of Level Algebras. *Jo. of Alg.* **241**:745–758 (2001).
- [6] A. V. Geramita, *Waring’s Problem for Forms: inverse systems of fat points, secant varieties and Gorenstein algebras*. Queen’s Papers in Pure and Applied Math. The Curves Seminar, Vol. X. 105 (1996).
- [7] A.V. Geramita, T. Harima, J.C. Migliore and Y.S. Shin, *The Hilbert Function of a Level Algebra*. To appear: *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*.
- [8] A.V. Geramita, T. Harima and Y.S. Shin. Some Special Configurations of Points in \mathbb{P}^n . *J. of Algebra*. **268**:484–518, 2003.
- [9] H.J. Kim, The Construction of some Non-Unimodal Level O-sequences, Ms thesis, Sungshin Womens University (2006).
- [10] A. Iarrobino, *Compressed Algebras: Artin algebras having given socle degrees and maximal length*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285** (1984), 337–378.

- [11] J. Migliore, *The Geometry of the Weak Lefschetz Property and Level Sets of Points*, preprint 2005.
- [12] L. Robbiano, J. Abbott, A. Bigatti, M. Caboara, D. Perkinson, V. Augustin, and A. Wills. *CoCoA, a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra*. Available via anonymous ftp from `cocoa.unige.it`. 4.3 edition.
- [13] F. Zanello, *A Non-Unimodal Codimension 3 Level h -vector*, In Preparation.
- [14] F. Zanello, *Level Algebras of Type 2*, In Preparation.

ABSTRACT

The Behavior of Local Minima of A Level O-sequence of Codimension 3 Having The Same Local Maxima

Na Young You

Major in Mathematics Education

Graduate school of Education

Sungshin Women's University

Supervised by Professor Shin Yong Su PH.D.

F. Zanello found that how to make a non-unimodal level O-sequence of codimension 3 in [12]

$$(1, 3, \dots, t, t, t+1, t, t, t+1, \dots, t, t, t+1)$$

having local maxima as many as we want.

In [9], using a different method from Zanello's construction, Kim found a new construction of a non-unimodal level O-sequence of codimension 3 having the same N-local maxima at any time. In

addition, the level O -sequence she found is symmetric between any two local maxima.

Using a new construction of a non-unimodal level O -sequence which Kim produced in [9], we prove any local minima of the level O -sequence exist in two consecutive degrees. In addition, we prove local minima of a level O -sequence are increasing.

A computer program CoCoA was used for all example in this thesis.